

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/810,844 | ROGERS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Cephia D. Toomer | Art Unit 1797 | |

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Cephia D. Toomer. (3) _____.

(2) Philip Lane. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

44-47

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Lane agreed to amend the claims to correct the dependency.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

//Cephia D. Toomer//
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)